# md\_stencil: High-Performance Stencil Computations on CPU and GPU via Multi-Dimensional Homomorphisms



Ari Rasch and Sergei Gorlatch





#### STUDENT RESEARCH COMPETITION



### We aim to achieve for stencil computations in one approach three major goals:



### Performance

#### competitive to best available solutions



# Portability

#### functional and performance over architectures and input/output characteristics





### Productivity

#### easy to use & extensible





4. Executing auto-tuned OpenCL code.

#### 3. Auto-tuning OpenCL code for target device and input/output char.

### 2. Generating auto-tunable OpenCL code from MDH representation.

#### **1. Transforming DSL programs to MDH representation.**



[1] Rasch, Schulze, Gorlatch, "Generating Portable High-Performance Code via Multi-Dimensional Homomorphisms.", PACT'19

[2] Rasch, Haidl, Gorlatch, "ATF: A Generic Auto-Tuning Framework.", HPCC'17

[3] Rasch, Gorlatch, "ATF: A Generic, Directive-Based Auto-Tuning Framework.", CCPE'19

[4] Rasch, Wrodarczyk, Schulze, Gorlatch, " OCAL: An Abstraction for Host-Code Programming with OpenCL and CUDA.", ICPADS'18

[5] Rasch, Bigge, Wrodarczyk, Schulze, Gorlatch. "dOCAL: High-Level Distributed Programming with OpenCL and CUDA.", **JOS'19** 



### The MDH Representation relies on three higher-order functions (patterns):

- 1. in view  $\rightarrow$  uniformly prepares stencil-specific input data
- 2. md hom  $\rightarrow$  specifies stencil computation
- 3. out view  $\rightarrow$  uniformly prepares stencil-specific output data





### **Transformation: DSL → MDH**











### Lift [6]: 1.9x-4.9x on CPU and 1.02x-2.34x on GPU for conv2d and j3d7pt on Lift's own data sets

## Speedups of md stencil over well-performing machine- and hand-optimized approaches on CPU and GPU

### Artemis [8]: 0.98x-1.07x on GPU for conv2d and j3d7pt

[6] Hagedorn, et al., "High Performance Stencil Code Generation with Lift.", CGO'18, (Best Paper Award) [7] Chen, et. al, "TVM: An Automated End-to-End Optimizing Compiler for Deep Learning", OSDI'18 [8] Rawat, et. al, "On Optimizing Complex Stencils on GPUs", IPDPS'19











### TVM [7]: 2.75x on GPU for MCC on their own real-world data set from deep learning

### Intel MKL-DNN / NVIDIA cuDNN: 1.3x on CPU and 3.31x on GPU for MCC on TVM's real-world data set

	Hardware
•	Intel Xeon E5
	NVIDIA V100





# Questions?

### Grateful for any feedback

This presentation and recording belong to the authors. No distribution is allowed without the authors' permission.







#### Ari Rasch a.rasch@wwu.de



### We have two next major steps:

## 1. Faster Auto-Tuning: exploit stencil-specific, high-level information. 2. <u>Further Stencils:</u> generalized convolutions (capsule networks), etc.



#### Further Stencils:

• Conv 2D transposed (conv2d-trans):

md hom( \*, (++, ++, +,+) ) o in view( in, weights )( p,q , r,s )( in[ q+s, p+r ], weights[r,s] ) • Jacobi 3D (j3d7pt):

md\_hom( j\_f, (++,++,++) ) o in view( in )( i,j,k ) (in[i,j,k],...,in[i+2,j+2,k+2]), where j f is the jacobi transition function

• Multi-Channel Convolution (MCC):

md\_hom( \*, (++,++,++,+,+,+,+) ) o in view( in, weights ) ( n,k,p,q,c,r,s )( in[ n,c,p+r,q+s], weights[ k,c,r,s] )

• *lxl convolution* (map-n):

md\_hom( f, (++,...,++) ) o in\_view( A )( i\_1,...,i\_n )( A[i\_1,...,i\_n] ), where f is the transition function.

### Appendix





### "Machine Learning Systems are Stuck in a Rut" [HotOS'19]:

#### $conv2d-gen(\dots) =$

out view( V )( n,x,y,c0 )( V[ n,c0,x,y ] )





### in\_view( P,W )( n,x,y,c0 , kx,ky,ci )( P[ n,ci , s\*x+kx, s\*y+ky ], W[ci,c0 , kx,ky] )

md hom(•, (++,++,++, ++, +,+))

